

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/736,267	MONCUS ET AL.	
	Examiner Sunil Singh	Art Unit 3673	
<b>All Participants:</b>		<b>Status of Application:</b> _____	
(1) <u>Sunil Singh</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>C. Dean Domingue..</u>		(4) _____.	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>2 February 2005</u>		<b>Time:</b> _____	
<b>Type of Interview:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
<b>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
<b>Part I.</b>			
<b>Rejection(s) discussed:</b> <i>claims 1 and 5 for typographical errors and claim 19 rejectable in view of Petty et al. '870</i>			
<b>Claims discussed:</b> <i>Claims 1, 5 and 19 to be amended (see examiner's amendment)</i>			
<b>Prior art documents discussed:</b> <i>US Pat. 4934870</i>			
<b>Part II.</b>			
<b>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:</b> <i>claims 1 and 5 to be amended to overcome typographical errors claim 19 to be amended (see examiner's amendment) to over the Petty et al. '870 reference.</i>			
<b>Part III..</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	